

<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	1. Meet our housing need 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The current plan for Godley Garden Green Village suggests only 15% will be "affordable" and it is not clear what "affordable" means. Affordability should be linked to the average income of the local area.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The current plan for Godley Garden Green Village suggests only 15% will be 'affordable' and it is not clear what 'affordable' means. Affordability should be linked to the average income of the local area.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The current plan for Godley Garden Green Village suggests only 15% will be "affordable" and it is not clear what "affordable" means. Affordability should be linked to the average income of the local area.</p> <p>We do not need more 4 and 5 bedroom detached houses - these are not affordable for most people. We need the majority to be smaller houses and flats in town centres, close to public transport links.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The current plan for Godley Garden Green Village suggests only 15% will be 'affordable' and it is not clear what 'affordable' means. Affordability should be linked to the average income of the local area.</p> <p>We do not need more 4 and 5 bedroom detached houses - these are not affordable for most people. We need the majority to be smaller houses and flats in town centres, close to public transport links.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The Godley Green Garden Village development should not go ahead because it will remove forever a large area of greenbelt, destroy wildlife and biodiversity, damage health, add flood risks to the local area, increase traffic and increase pressure on local facilities which are already oversubscribed.</p> <p>The plan is only allocating 15% affordable homes. It is not clear what is meant by affordable. We do not need 4 and 5 bedroom houses which are unaffordable for most people. We should be investing in genuinely affordable homes in town centres and brownfield sites. Housing need should not trump greenbelt protection and I do not believe there are exceptional circumstances that justify destroying greenbelt. We are just not trying hard enough to solve the housing crisis in other ways.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The Godley Green Garden Village development should not go ahead because it will remove forever a large area of greenbelt, destroy wildlife and biodiversity, damage health, add flood risks to the local area, increase traffic and increase pressure on local facilities which are already oversubscribed.</p> <p>The plan is only allocating 15% affordable homes. It is not clear what is meant by affordable. We do not need 4 and 5 bedroom houses which are unaffordable for most people. We should be investing in genuinely affordable homes in town centres and brownfield sites. Housing need should not trump greenbelt protection and I do not believe there are exceptional circumstances that justify destroying greenbelt. We are just not trying hard enough to solve the housing crisis in other ways.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Ainley
<b>Given Name</b>	Janine
<b>Person ID</b>	1287274
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The South of Hyde development should not go ahead because it will remove forever a large area of greenbelt, destroy wildlife and biodiversity, damage health, add flood risks to the local area, increase traffic and increase pressure on local facilities which are already oversubscribed.</p> <p>We do not need 4 and 5 bedroom houses which are unaffordable for most people. We should be investing in genuinely affordable homes in town centres and brownfield sites. Housing need should not trump greenbelt protection and I do not believe there are exceptional circumstances that justify destroying greenbelt. We are just not trying hard enough to solve the housing crisis in other ways.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. The latest Census data from 2021 or the most recent population predictions from 2018 should be used to calculate housing need. Then genuinely affordable housing should be made available through investing in town centres, brownfield sites and unlocking empty properties. All of the above needs to happen BEFORE any greenbelt or green space is used.</p> <p>The South of Hyde development should not go ahead because it will remove forever a large area of greenbelt, destroy wildlife and biodiversity, damage health, add flood risks to the local area, increase traffic and increase pressure on local facilities which are already oversubscribed.</p> <p>We do not need 4 and 5 bedroom houses which are unaffordable for most people. We should be investing in genuinely affordable homes in town centres and brownfield sites. Housing need should not trump greenbelt protection and I do not believe there are exceptional circumstances that justify destroying greenbelt. We are just not trying hard enough to solve the housing crisis in other ways.</p>